



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,128	08/29/2005	Bronislava Gedulin	54061.8101.US00	7370
44638 7590			EXAMINER	
ARNOLD & PORTER LLP (18528) 555 TWELFTH ST, NW			LI, RUIXIANG	
WASHINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1646	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/518,128	GEDULIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ruixiang Li	1646	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
·— ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal ma	itters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □	accepted or b) objected t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			
application from the International Bu	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI 		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	The state of the s	

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a method of treating an intestinal damage comprising administering PYY or a PYY agonist.
- II. Claims 15-21, drawn to a method of treating a bowel condition comprising administering a proiotic bacteria comprising a nucleic acid encoding PYY or a PYY agonist.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Invention groups I and II do not share a special technical feature. The special technical feature in Groups I is treating an intestinal damage comprising administering PYY or a PYY agonist; whereas the special technical feature in Groups II is treating a bowel condition comprising administering a proiotic bacteria comprising a nucleic acid encoding PYY or a PYY agonist.

Accordingly, Groups I-II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept. Thus, unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

3. Furthermore, this application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows: a growth hormone and an anti-inflammatory agent, as recited in claims 7 and 8.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: according to PCT rule 13.2 and to the guidelines in Section (f)(i)(B)(1) of Annex B of the PCT administrative Instructions, all alternatives of a Markush Group must have a common structure. The species listed above are not regarded as being of similar nature because the species do not appear to share a common structure.

Should applicants elect Invention I, Applicants are further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An

Application/Control Number: 10/518,128

Art Unit: 1646

argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02 (a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (l).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,128

Art Unit: 1646

Advisory Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Ruixiang Li whose telephone number is (571) 272-0875.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00

pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (571) 272-0961. The fax number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, please contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at the toll-free phone number 866-217-9197.

Rindiang L

Ruixiang Li, Ph.D. **Primary Examiner**

March 19, 2006

BUIXIANG LI, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER